

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/003,465	12/06/2001	Stephen J. Cutler	43257	5845
1609 7	7590 10/17/2005		EXAMINER	
ROYLANCE, ABRAMS, BERDO & GOODMAN, L.L.P. 1300 19TH STREET, N.W.			PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL	
SUITE 600		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON,, DC 20036			1616	

DATE MAILED: 10/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/003,465	CUTLER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Alton N. Pryor	1616
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IT Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron tte, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1,3-14 and 16-36</u> is/are pending in t 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) <u>27-31 and 36</u> is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,7,8,13,16,18-24,32 and 33</u> is/a 7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>5,6,9-12,14,17,25,26,34,35</u> is/are of 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration. are rejected. Djected to.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	ccepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	

DETAILED ACTION

I. Rejection of claims 1,3,5,7,8,16 under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Giddings will not be maintained. Applicant has deleted algae from the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 34,35 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. It is improper for composition claims to depend from method claims.

II. New Ground of 103(a) rejection is set forth below

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1,3,4,7,8,13,16,18-24,32, and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Giddings on record. Giddings teaches a method of contacting algae infested water with p-benzoquinone to control said algae growth. Giddings differ from the claims in that Giddings discloses algae whereas the instant claims are to plants. However, algae is species in the plant genus. Also note that holoplanktonic organisms - blue green algae, meroplanktonic organisms - benthic plants, Biota - sea grass, pyrrophyta - algae are types of plants. For this reason, Giddings makes obvious

Application/Control Number: 10/003,465

Art Unit: 1616

the instant invention. Giddings does not teach the invention comprising the instant amount of benzoquinone to be added to the water or the time period for contact of p-benzoquinone to the algae infested water. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to determine the optimum amount of p-benzoquinone to add to the algae infested water and the optimum time period for p-benzoquinone contact in infested water. One would have been motivated to do this in order to develop the most effective invention for the control of algae growth in the algae infested water.

Claim Objection / Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5,6,9-12,14,17,25,26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art does not teach or suggest the invention comprising the pests of these claims. The prior art does not teach or suggest the invention comprising ballast water reservoir or 2,3-methoxy-5-methyl-1,4-benzoquinone. Claims 27-31, 36 are allowable. The prior art does not teach or suggest the instant invention being employed to control mollusk.

Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton N. Pryor whose telephone number is 571-272-0621. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz can be reached on 571-272-0887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/003,465 Page 4

Art Unit: 1616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

AU 1616